Brainstem encoding of voiced consonant–vowel stop syllables
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A B S T R A C T

Objective: The purpose of this study is to expand our understanding of how the human auditory brainstem encodes temporal and spectral acoustic cues in voiced stop consonant–vowel syllables.

Methods: Auditory evoked potentials measuring activity from the brainstem of 22 normal learning children were recorded to the voiced stop consonant syllables [ga], [da], and [ba]. Spectrotemporal information distinguishing these voiced consonant–vowel syllables is contained within the first few milliseconds of the burst and the formant transition to the vowel. Responses were compared across stimuli with respect to their temporal and spectral content.

Results: Brainstem response latencies change in a predictable manner in response to systematic alterations in a speech syllable indicating that the distinguishing acoustic cues are represented by neural response timing (synchrony). Spectral analyses of the responses show frequency distribution differences across stimuli (some of which appear to represent acoustic characteristics created by difference tones of the stimulus formants) indicating that neural phase-locking is also important for encoding these acoustic elements.

Conclusions: Considered within the context of existing knowledge of brainstem encoding of speech–sound structure, these data are the beginning of a comprehensive delineation of how the human auditory brainstem encodes perceptually critical features of speech.

Significance: The results of this study could be used to determine how neural encoding is disrupted in the clinical populations for whom stop consonants pose particular perceptual challenges (e.g., hearing impaired individuals and poor readers).

© 2008 International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In speech, perceptual identification of vowels is determined by the frequencies of the first few formants which reflect the resonant properties of the vocal tract (Hillenbrand and Gayvert, 1993). Stop consonants are produced by a temporary obstruction of airflow through the vocal tract with three distinct phases: closing of the oral cavity, having the oral cavity remain closed while pressure builds, and finally a release of the closure allowing airflow to resume through the oral cavity (Halle et al., 1957; Johnson, 2003). A stop consonant is rich in acoustic cues that underlie the identification of its place of articulation and voicing, such as formant transitions (Blumstein et al., 1982; Delattre et al., 1955; Liberman et al., 1967), spectrum of the stop release burst (Blumstein and Stevens, 1979; Kewley-Port, 1983; Kewley-Port et al., 1983; Stevens and Blumstein, 1978), the presence or absence of post-release aspiration, and the time of the onset of voicing for the following vowel (Blumstein et al., 1982; Sinnott and Adams, 1987; Stevens and Klatt, 1974; Summerfield and Haggard, 1977).

Animal models have shown that this perceptual acoustic information is encoded across many levels of the auditory system as distinct neural events. Both peripheral and central structures such as the auditory nerve and cochlear nucleus fibers show phase-locking activity to the harmonics in a speech stimulus (Clarey et al., 2004; Sachs and Young, 1979; Sinex and McDonald, 1989; Young and Sachs, 1979). Additionally, these structures, as well as the rostral inferior colliculus, also show a marked increase in discharge rate at the onset of voicing (Chen et al., 1996). In contrast to single neurons, human brainstem evoked potentials reflect the aggregate neural response of several different types of cells, primarily those...
neurons in the rostral brainstem (i.e., inferior colliculus). Even at the level of the auditory cortex, the spectral content of the release of the stop burst is reflected by a latency shift in aggregate neural activity; as the spectral center-of-gravity of the stimulus’ onset increases, the onset response latency decreases (McGee et al., 1996; Steinschneider et al., 1995).

The brainstem responds with a high degree of neural synchrony and is exceedingly well attuned to the temporal and spectral characteristics of sound, including speech sounds. However, the mechanisms involved in accurately encoding the many acoustic cues in speech still remain largely speculative. A large body of research has studied how the human auditory brainstem responds to the speech sound [da] (for review, see Banai et al., 2007). From this research, a framework has been proposed that suggests that separate neural mechanisms are responsible for encoding different acoustic aspects of speech sounds (Johnson et al., 2005; Kraus and Nicol, 2005). Speech sounds consist of three fundamental components: pitch, which is a source characteristic conveyed by the fundamental frequency; formants, which are filter characteristics conveyed by the selective enhancement and attenuation of harmonics; and the timing of major acoustic landmarks. All these aspects are important for speech perception. From a production standpoint, pitch arises from the “source,” the rate of vibration of the vocal folds, while formants arise from the vocal tract “filtering,” and timing arises from the interplay between actions of the source and filter, and from the opening and closing gestures involved in the production of sequences of segments. Although source and filter characteristics are simultaneously present in a speech signal and in a response, specific components of the brainstem response separately reflect the acoustic characteristics of pitch, formants, and segment-level timing.

The proposed framework, indeed, coincides with how the auditory system encodes spectral and periodic information. In the mature auditory system, basal regions of the cochlea are maximally responsive to high frequencies, and apical regions are maximally responsive to lower frequencies. This tonotopic organization is preserved throughout the central auditory pathways and is thought to help preserve the spectral relations in the pattern of neural activity (Langner, 1997; Langner et al., 1997; Merzenich and Reid, 1974; Rose et al., 1959). It has been demonstrated that the cortical coding of stop consonants depends on the frequency content of the component formants (Martin et al., 1997; McGee et al., 1996; Steinschneider et al., 1993). Therefore, it is thought that response latency—the time interval between the onset of the evoking sound and the response—and spectral coding are the primary analytic modes for extracting timing and formant information from filter cues. Signal periodicity, on the other hand, affords the quality of “pitch” and is thought that neural phase-locking (via the FFR) is the analytic mode of extracting this source cue. Systematic encoding of periodicity has been demonstrated, and there is some evidence for the existence of orthogonal periodic and tonotopic maps in the cochlear nucleus, inferior colliculus, and auditory cortex (Langner, 1997; Langner et al., 1997; Langner and Schreiner, 1988; Merzenich et al., 1975; Schreiner and Langner, 1988; Suga and O’Neill, 1979).

Based on the aforementioned studies, it is of interest to learn how the human auditory brainstem is actually encoding the acoustic cues in speech when the only differences among syllables are filter characteristics, as is the case in the syllables [ga], [da], and [ba] used in this study. Acoustically in natural speech, a primary (but not only) difference between these syllables is the trajectory of the second and the third formant frequencies (F2 and F3) during the formant transition period. However, F2 and F3 are beyond the phase-locking limit of the rostral brainstem (Blackburn and Sachs, 1989; Frisina, 2001; Frisina et al., 1990; Joris et al., 2004; Langner and Schreiner, 1988; Wang and Sachs, 1994), and phase-locking to these frequencies is not evident in the response. Based on the tonotopy of the brainstem nuclei, response timing would be the most likely candidate for differentiating between these spectral cues, such that a stimulus containing higher frequencies would have earlier response latencies than a stimulus containing lower frequencies. This latency progression as a function of frequency has been demonstrated in the brainstem response to pure-tones (Gorga et al., 1988).

The purpose of this study was to determine the neural encoding of the voiced stop consonants [ga], [da], and [ba] to establish how the brainstem encodes the acoustic cues that differentiate these consonants. Syllables with an initial stop consonant were used because stop consonants are notorious for being most vulnerable to misperception in clinical populations, specifically hearing loss (Townsend and Schwartz, 1981; Van Tasell et al., 1982), poor readers (de Gelder and Vroomen, 1998; Tallal, 1980; Tallal and Stark, 1981), and people with auditory processing disorders (Tobey et al., 1979). Using synthetic speech to isolate the primary acoustic cues to the place of articulation in these consonants, we hypothesized the following: (1) because of the tonotopicity of the auditory pathway, the differing F2 and F3 frequencies of the formant transition period manifest themselves in terms of neural timing (synchrony) as latency shifts, with [ga], [da] and [ba] responses arising progressively later; (2) latency differences diminish over the course of the response until they vanish completely by the time the three syllables reach their shared steady-state vowel; (3) based on the phase-locking limitations of the brainstem, neural encoding of the periodic acoustic properties of speech consists largely of phase-locking to frequencies below the second formant, such that there is little difference in response spectra at frequencies where the stimuli differ; and (4) there are no between-stimulus latency or spectral differences in response to the portion of the syllable where formants have reached steady-state (steady-state portion) because the acoustic properties are identical during this period.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-two children between the ages of 8–12 years participated in this study. All children had normal hearing as assessed by (a) pure tone air- and bone-conduction thresholds from 250 to 8000 Hz (less than 20 dB HL, no air-bone gap greater than 10 dB HL), and (b) normal wave V click auditory brainstem response (ABR) latencies. Additionally, children with language-based learning problems are known to frequently have abnormal brainstem responses to speech (Banai et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2007; King et al., 2002; Russo et al., 2005; Wible et al., 2004a,b). Therefore, all subjects were screened and none of the children had a history or diagnosis of a language-based learning problem or attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). A study-specific psychoeducational test battery consisting of three literacy subtests (reading, spelling, and word attack) from the Woodcock-Johnson-III (Woodcock et al., 2001) confirmed that all children performed within or above the normal range on these measures (all scores ≥85). The Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence was administered to ensure that the mental ability of all subjects was above 85. Written and oral informed assent was given by each child, and his or her parent or guardian provided written consent. The children were paid for their participation. Institutional review board approval for this study was obtained from Northwestern University.

2.2. Stimuli and presentation

A Klatt cascade/parallel formant synthesizer (Klatt, 1980) was used to synthesize the speech stimuli [ga], [da], and [ba] at a sampling rate of 20 kHz. The three stimuli share the following charac-
teristics. Their durations are 170 ms with voicing (100 Hz $F_0$) onset at 10 ms. The formant transition durations are 50 ms and comprise a linearly rising $F_1$ (400–720 Hz) and flat $F_2$ (3300 Hz), $F_3$ (3750 Hz), and $F_4$ (4900 Hz). Ten ms of initial frication are centered at frequencies around $F_0$ and $F_2$. After the 50 ms formant transition period, $F_2$ and $F_3$ remain constant at their transition end point frequencies of 1240 and 2500 Hz, respectively, for the remainder of the syllable. The stimuli differ only in the starting points of $F_2$ and $F_3$. For [ba], $F_2$ and $F_3$ rise from 900 Hz and 2400 Hz, respectively. For [da], $F_2$ and $F_3$ fall from 1700 and 2580, respectively. And for [ga], $F_2$ and $F_3$ fall from 3000 and 3100, respectively. These synthesized stimuli have an identical and constant $F_0$ throughout their entire duration. Table 1 lists all stimulus parameters, and differences among the three syllables are highlighted. Fig. 1 provides a schematic representation (panel A) and the time–amplitude waveforms (panels B and C) of all three stimuli. Panel D illustrates the spectral composition of the first 60 ms of the stimuli from 0 to 4000 Hz.

Stimuli were delivered with a PC-based stimulus delivery system (Neuroscan Gentask, Compumedics USA, Charlotte, NC) that outputs the signals through a 16-bit converter. The rate of presentation was 4.35/s. Both stimulus polarities (condensation and rarification) were presented. The test stimuli were presented to the right ear through Etymotic ER-3 earphones (Etymotic Research, Elk Grove Village, IL) at an intensity of 83 dB SPL. The left ear to the very end of the stimulus formant transition period, where the acoustic properties of the three stimuli are approaching the point of being identical, and are thus considered "end-point" peaks (shaded circles).

In order to normalize the latencies so that all 16 peaks could be depicted on the same scale, a grand mean (GM) latency for each peak across all three stimuli was computed. Then, each respective grand mean was subtracted from each individual peak latency ($Lat_{Individual} - Lat_{GM}$). Thus earlier peaks (i.e. [ga]) are negative numbers, later peaks (i.e. [ba]) are positive numbers, and peaks near the grand mean (i.e. [da]) are near zero.

Statistical analyses were performed using the normalized latencies on 4 groups of peaks: onset peaks 1 and 2; major peaks 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13; minor peaks 5, 8, 11, and 14; and end-point peaks 15 and 16. A 3 x K repeated measures ANOVA (where 3 is the number of stimulus conditions and K is the number of peaks) was conducted on each group. For those groups where the stimulus/peak interaction was significant, repeated measure ANOVA and follow-up paired t-tests were performed for each peak within a given group to assess between-stimulus latency differences.

2.4. Analysis

2.4.1. Formant transition period

2.4.1.1. Transient peak measures. The formant transition period is defined as the portion of the response corresponding to the onset and formant transition periods of the stimuli (0–50 ms). Based on our first hypothesis, latency differences in this portion of the response were expected to be present across stimuli. In order to best isolate this portion of the response and eliminate low-frequency activity that could obscure subtle latency differences, response waveforms were additionally high-pass filtered at 300 Hz. A visual analysis of the first 70 ms of the grand average waveforms showed an onset response (~9 ms) and major peaks of activity occurring approximately every 10 ms starting around 23 ms. Absolute latency was recorded for a total of 16 peaks for each subject, in each stimulus condition (see Fig. 2A). Peaks 1 and 2 are the onset response (shown as shaded circles in Fig. 2A). Peaks 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are considered “major” peaks (filled circles), as they are the most robust positive and negative peaks of activity within this time period. Peaks 5, 8, 11, and 14 are considered “minor” peaks (open circles), and were defined as the next negative peak following a major negativity. Peaks 15 and 16 occur in response to the acoustic properties of the three stimuli are approaching the point of being identical, and are thus considered “end-point” peaks (shaded circles).

In order to normalize the latencies so that all 16 peaks could be depicted on the same scale, a grand mean (GM) latency for each peak across all three stimuli was computed. Then, each respective grand mean was subtracted from each individual peak latency ($Lat_{Individual} - Lat_{GM}$). Thus earlier peaks (i.e. [ga]) are negative numbers, later peaks (i.e. [ba]) are positive numbers, and peaks near the grand mean (i.e. [da]) are near zero.

Statistical analyses were performed using the normalized latencies on 4 groups of peaks: onset peaks 1 and 2; major peaks 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13; minor peaks 5, 8, 11, and 14; and end-point peaks 15 and 16. A 3 x K repeated measures ANOVA (where 3 is the number of stimulus conditions and K is the number of peaks) was conducted on each group. For those groups where the stimulus/peak interaction was significant, repeated measure ANOVA and follow-up paired t-tests were performed for each peak within a given group to assess between-stimulus latency differences.

2.4.1.2. Frequency-domain measures. To evaluate the spectral composition of the responses, a fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis was performed on each response over the time period corresponding to the formant transition period. For a response time range of 18–58 ms, average response magnitudes were calculated for 50 Hz-wide bins surrounding the frequency of the stimulus $F_0$ and the subsequent 10 harmonics. A repeated measure ANOVA and, when appropriate, follow-up paired t-tests were performed for each frequency bin to test for the significant between-stimuli magnitude differences.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus formant frequencies (Hz)</th>
<th>$F_0$</th>
<th>$F_1$</th>
<th>$F_2$</th>
<th>$F_3$</th>
<th>$F_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ga]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[da]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ba]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$F_1$, $F_2$, and $F_3$ have ramping frequencies during the formant transition period (first 50 ms), while $F_0$, $F_4$, $F_5$, and $F_6$ remain flat throughout the entirety of the stimulus. The differences in the starting frequencies between stimuli of $F_2$ and $F_3$ are indicated in bold.
2.4.2. Steady-state response

2.4.2.1. Transient peak measures. The sustained response is defined as the portion of the response corresponding to the steady-state portion, where the acoustic parameters of the stimuli are identical (51-170 ms). Peak latency values for major and minor peaks were picked for a 70 ms portion of the steady-state response (90-160 ms). Again, major peaks were defined as those peaks with the greatest amplitude departure from zero, and minor peaks were defined as the next negative peak following a major negativity. In this 70 ms time range, there were 14 major peaks and 7 minor peaks. Normalized latencies were computed for all 21 peaks, and a 3 x K repeated measures ANOVA was conducted for the major...

Fig. 1. (A) Schematic representations of the spectral composition of [ga] (left), [da] (center), and [ba] (right) stimuli as a function of time (in ms). The first 50 ms are the formant transition period, followed by the steady-state [a] portion. (B) Time–amplitude stimulus waveforms for [ga] (green), [da] (red), and [ba] (blue) overlaid for the entire duration of the stimulus. Where they are identical, the waveform is red. (C) Enlarged representation of the first 60 ms of the stimuli. (D) Spectral composition of the first 60 ms of the stimuli, where frequency (Hz) is along the x-axis and amplitude is along the y-axis.
2.4.2.2. Sustained measures. An FFT analysis of each response corresponding to a 40 ms portion of the steady-state response (110-150 ms) was performed to evaluate the spectral composition of the response. Average response magnitudes were calculated for 50 Hz-wide bins surrounding the frequency of the stimulus \( F_0 \) and the subsequent 10 harmonics. A repeated measure ANOVA was performed for each frequency bin to assess between-stimuli magnitude differences.

3.1. Formant transition period

3.1.1. Transient measures

Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation of the raw (non-normalized) latencies for the 16 peaks picked for each stimulus condition. It was hypothesized that during the formant transition period, the differing \( F_2 \) and \( F_3 \) frequencies would manifest themselves as latency shifts, with \([ga]\), \([da]\) and \([ba]\) responses arising progressively later due to the high-to-low progression of frequency differences among the sounds. It was further hypothesized that the differences would lessen over the course of the response until they vanish completely at the time that the three syllables reached their shared steady-state portion. Fig. 2B shows a schematic representation of the hypothesized progression of the normalized latencies.

Grand average response waveforms of the first 70 ms for all three stimuli can be seen in Fig. 3A. A normalized latency plot for all 16 peaks is illustrated in Fig. 3B. There was no significant within-subject main effect of stimulus \((F_{2,42} = 1.717, p = 0.192)\) or stimulus \( X \) peak interaction \((F_{2,42} = 0.851, p = 0.434)\) for the on-set peaks 1 and 2, or end-point peaks 15 and 16 (main effect: \( F_{2,42} = 1.205, p = 0.310 \); interaction: \( F_{2,42} = 0.046, p = 0.955 \)). For the remaining peaks, the hypothesized pattern of latency shifts is visually evident and statistically significant. In addition, major peaks and minor peaks display a different degree of between-stimulus distinction, whereby minor peaks have greater between-stimulus latency differences than major peaks. Fig. 3C and D shows normalized latency plots for the major and minor peaks separately. Note that peaks 15 and 16 are plotted in both panels to illustrate the merging of the responses. Fig. 4 further highlights the response differences between the major and the minor peaks. This histogram shows the percent of subjects who displayed the hypothesized between-stimulus latency pattern \(( [ga] < [da] < [ba] )\) at each peak. It can be seen that a greater percentage of subjects show this pattern of activity for the minor peaks than that for the major peaks.

A \( 3 \times 4 \) repeated measures ANOVA for the minor peaks showed a significant main effect of stimulus \((F_{2,42} = 353.625, p < 0.001)\) and interaction \((F_{6,126} = 11.695, p < 0.001)\). Multiple one-way repeated measure ANOVAs across stimuli were then performed on each of the four minor peaks separately. For each of the peaks, \( F_{2,42} \) values were greater than 88.000 and \( p \)-values yielded significance of less than 0.001. Follow-up paired \( t \)-tests were performed to assess between-stimulus differences, and these results can be seen in Table 3. This table shows significant latency differences between all stimuli in all minor peaks. Individual data can be seen in Fig. 5. Fig. 5A shows the average (across all minor peaks) normalized latency for \([ga]\), \([da]\), and \([ba]\) for each subject. It can be seen that 21 of 22 (~95%) display the hypothesized latency progression pattern when all minor peaks are collapsed. Furthermore, the asterisks indicate those subjects who displayed the hypothesized pattern of activity for the minor peaks than that for the major peaks.
A 3 × 8 repeated measures ANOVA for the major peaks showed a significant main effect of stimulus ($F_{(2,42)} = 111.593, p < 0.001$) and interaction ($F_{(14,294)} = 17.199, p < 0.001$). Multiple one-way repeated measure ANOVAs across stimuli were then performed on each of the eight major peaks separately. For each of the peaks, $F_{(2,42)}$ values were greater than 15.000 and $p$-values yielded significance of less than 0.001. Follow-up paired $t$-tests were performed to assess between-stimulus differences, and these results can be seen in Table 4. This table shows significant latency differences among all stimuli until peak 9, where there are no longer significant latency differences between [da] and [ga] (with the exception of peak 13, where this stimulus contrast just achieves significance).
Lastly, we addressed the hypothesis that the between-stimulus latency differences lessen over the course of the formant transition period until they vanish completely at the time the three syllables reach a steady-state portion. This was implied by the interactions in the ANOVAs for both the major and the minor peaks described above, but to more parsimoniously test it, we used the overall [ba] – [ga] latency difference (discussed above), with the expectation that this latency difference would become progressively smaller at each peak. Repeated measures ANOVAs indicate that both the major peaks and the minor peaks show a significant latency difference as a function of peak ($F_{(7,147)} = 31.928$, $p < 0.001$; $F_{(3,63)} = 13.810$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). Fig. 6 illustrates the grand average progression for the major and the minor peaks separately. Note that peak 15 was added as an anchor point at which the between-stimulus differences no longer exist.

3.1.2. Frequency-domain measures

Fig. 7A shows the grand averaged response spectrum for each stimulus, for the 18–58 ms time range; for comparison, the stimuli’ spectrum is shown in the inset. This time range was chosen as it encompasses the portion of the response where significant latency differences were observed among stimuli. Multiple one-way repeated measure ANOVAs across stimuli were then performed on each of the 10 frequency bins separately. For each bin, $F_{(2,42)}$ values were greater than 18.000 and $p$-values yielded significance of less than 0.001. Follow-up paired $t$-tests were performed to assess between-stimulus differences, and these results can be seen in Table 5. This table shows that the response to [ba] has significantly greater magnitudes at $H_4$ and $H_5$ than the response to either [da] or [ga]. Additionally, the [ba] response magnitude is significantly smaller than the other two responses at $H_7$. Lastly, the response to [ga] shows significantly greater magnitudes at $H_9$ and $H_{10}$ compared to the responses of [da] and [ba]. These findings are illustrated as bar graphs in Fig. 7B.

3.2. Steady-state portion

3.2.1. Transient response measures

Fig. 8A shows the grand averaged responses over the portion of the sustained response (90–160 ms) where no between-stimuli latency differences were hypothesized, because the acoustic properties of the stimuli are identical during this period. Fig. 8B shows the normalized latency plot for all peaks. No significant differences existed for the minor peaks (main effect: $F_{(2,42)} = 0.004$, $p = 0.996$; interaction: $F_{(12,252)} = 0.774$, $p = 0.678$) or the major peaks (main effect: $F_{(2,42)} = 3.032$, $p = 0.059$; interaction: $F_{(26,546)} = 0.531$, $p = 0.974$).

3.2.2. Sustained measures

Fig. 8C shows the grand averaged response spectra for each stimulus for the 110–150 ms time range; for comparison, the stimuli’s spectra are shown in the inset. A 3 x 11 (3 stimuli, 11

---

Table 3

Paired $t$-test results for each stimulus contrast for the minor peaks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th></th>
<th>11</th>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor peaks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba vs da</td>
<td>10.642</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.413</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>16.706</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.265</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba vs ga</td>
<td>13.038</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>15.598</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>16.903</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>12.343</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da vs ga</td>
<td>4.079</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>7.828</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.459</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>6.291</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant between-stimulus normalized latency differences are indicated in bold.
frequency bins) overall repeated measures ANOVA showed no main effect of stimulus ($F_{(2,42)} = 1.203, p = 0.310$) and a significant stimulus × bin interaction ($F_{(20,420)} = 1.826, p = 0.016$). However, the origin of the interaction could not be determined through post hoc testing, as no significant differences were found when performing a multiple one-way repeated measures ANOVA on each of the 11 frequency bins separately. For each bin, $F_{(2,42)}$ values were less than 3.190 and p-values yielded significance of greater than 0.05. Thus, as hypothesized, there were no within-subject response magnitude differences across stimuli for the sustained responses.

4. Discussion

Ample literature addresses neural encoding of speech sounds from the 8th nerve (Delgutte, 1980; Miller and Sachs, 1983, 1984; Sachs and Young, 1980), cochlear nucleus (Caspary et al., 1977; Keilson et al., 1997; Palmer et al., 1986; Recio and Rhode, 2000; Rhode, 1998), and brainstem (Galbraith et al., 1995; Galbraith et al., 1997; Krishnan, 1999, 2002). The purpose of this study was to understand whether and how the aggregate brainstem response in humans reflects the subtle acoustic differences that exist among synthetic voiced stop consonants [ga], [da] and [ba], differing from one another only in their fundamental frequency transitions, towards the steady-state portion. We have pursued this understanding by attempting to validate four hypotheses that encapsulate the current expectation of how speech is processed by the brainstem in the source-filter framework, expectations that have not yet been subjected to experimental confirmation in human subjects.

Because the $F_2$ and $F_3$ frequency ranges are well above the phase-locking capabilities of the brainstem, we hypothesized that these frequency differences would be manifested as latency differences among responses. Specifically, due to the tonotopicity of the auditory system, responses to [ga] would have the earliest latencies because it contains the highest $F_2$ and $F_3$ frequencies, responses to [ba] would have the latest latencies due to containing the lowest $F_2$ and $F_3$ frequencies, and responses to [da] would have latencies in-between the others. We further hypothesized that these latency differences would start off large, and progressively diminish over time as the acoustic properties of the syllables reached the steady-state. Moreover, the latencies and spectral composition of the responses over the duration of the steady-state portion were not expected to differ from one another because there are no acoustic differences in this segment of the stimuli. The results of this study support many of these hypotheses, and offer additional insight.

Our results confirmed our first hypothesis that the differing $F_2$ and $F_3$ frequencies during the transition period would manifest themselves in terms of neural timing (synchrony) as latency shifts, with [ga], [da] and [ba] responses arising progressively later. Interestingly, major and minor peaks display dissimilar between-stimulus latency differences. The major peaks occur at the period of the fundamental frequency (∼10 ms) and correspond (in time) to the glottal pulses in the stimuli, thereby imparting pitch or source information. The minor peaks reflect filter cues, or the formants, expressed in the time and frequency domains of the syllable. The minor peaks revealed the most robust latency differences among stimuli. Not only are these latency differences well pronounced, but also they appear to be present on an individual level in the majority of subjects. 

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba vs da</td>
<td>2.274</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>4.902</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.233</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>4.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba vs ga</td>
<td>12.405</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>9.681</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>10.594</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>11.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da vs ga</td>
<td>8.198</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.375</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.248</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant between-stimulus normalized latency differences are indicated in bold.

4.1 Hypothesis One

The stimuli were synthesized to have an identical and constant fundamental frequency throughout the duration of the syllable, and thus any $F_0$ perturbations (microprosody) have been controlled for. Because the major peaks reflect the $F_0$ of the stimulus, they may be expected to be identical among all syllables. Yet, between-stimulus latency differences were still observed for these major peaks. However, in contrast to the minor peaks, these latency differences were seen primarily at a group level, and were not robust within a given subject. The hypothesized latency pattern is not consistently ob-

Fig. 6. Grand average normalized latency difference between [ba] and [ga] (in ms) at each peak. Minor peaks are plotted as open symbols, and major peaks are plotted as filled symbols. End-point peak 15 is plotted as an asterisk to indicate the merging of the responses.
served across major peaks within a subject. For the majority of subjects, the hypothesized latency pattern is only followed at four or fewer of the eight major peaks, and the specific peaks differ across subjects (Fig. 9B). Only one subject showed the predicted latency progression at all eight major peaks (asterisk). Moreover, it does not appear that the major peaks show a distinct latency pattern of

![Grand average response FFT for [da] (red), [ba] (blue), and [ga] (green). Noise floor is indicated by thin lines. The fundamental frequency ($F_0$) and significantly different harmonic frequency bins are labeled. For comparison, an FFT of the onset of each stimulus (0–50 ms) from 0 to 1200 Hz is illustrated in the inset.](image1)

![Bar graphs illustrating significant between-stimulus magnitude differences at $H_4$, $H_5$, $H_7$, and $H_{10}$. Brackets and asterisks indicate significant differences between stimuli.](image2)

**Table 5**

Paired t-test results for each stimulus contrast for harmonic frequency bins over the 18–58 ms range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$H_4$</th>
<th>$H_5$</th>
<th>$H_7$</th>
<th>$H_9$</th>
<th>$H_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ba vs da</td>
<td>-8.356</td>
<td>-11.290</td>
<td>-0.310</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>2.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba vs ga</td>
<td>8.042</td>
<td>12.188</td>
<td>-5.814</td>
<td>-4.024</td>
<td>-6.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da vs ga</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>4.897</td>
<td>-1.551</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-3.236</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant between-stimulus magnitude differences are indicated in bold.
any sort (one other than that hypothesized), as the distribution of between-stimulus latency differences appears to be varied among peak and subject (i.e., which stimulus had the earliest or latest latency at a given peak), although the [da] vs. [ga] reversal was again the most prevalent. This indicates that the acoustic dissimilarities contained within the stimuli do not necessarily translate into parallel neural disparities as they do for the minor peaks. We speculate that the latency differences observed in the major peaks are a reflection of the minor peak pattern (i.e., they are ‘carried along’). On the other hand, in more natural utterances F0 perturbations caused by articulatory movements in the vocal tract would likely be present. In this case (that is, without the enforced F0 uniformity in the present stimuli), the systematic pattern observed in the minor peaks would be expected to be more evident in the major peaks as well.

Fig. 8. (A) Time–amplitude grand averaged responses over the 90–160 ms portion of [ga] (green), [da] (red), and [ba] (blue). (B) Normalized latency plot of 21 peaks for [ga], [da], and [ba], where time (ms) is along the x-axis and time difference (in ms) from peak grand average is along the y-axis. Filled symbols indicate the major peaks, and open symbols indicate the minor peaks. (C) Grand average response spectra for [ga], [da], and [ba]. Noise floor is indicated by thin lines. For comparison, the spectrum of the stimulus steady-state portion from 0 to 1200 Hz is illustrated in the inset. Notice that only one color is seen because all stimuli are identical in this time frame.
Our second hypothesis stated that between-stimulus latency differences diminish over the course of the response until vanishing completely by the time the three syllables reach their shared steady-state. While this pattern was observed for all major peaks and minor peaks, there were no stimulus-related latency differences in the onset response. This is likely because the onset response is triggered by the onset burst of the stimulus, and all three stimuli contained the identical initial frication of $F_4$ and $F_5$.

Another interesting finding is that the stimulus by peak interaction was stronger for the major peaks, suggesting that across the stimuli the pattern of latency values across the major peaks is more different than it is for the minor peaks. This can be seen in Fig. 6, as the major peaks appear to have a more distinct merging trajectory than do the minor peaks. Furthermore, between-stimulus latency differences were significant for all minor peaks, whereas latency differences between [da] and [ga] began to disappear around peak 9 of the major peaks, a full 20 ms before the convergence at peak 15. This finding lends additional support to the idea that source and filter information are encoded by separate neural mechanisms, and that these mechanisms can be observed in the brainstem response as discrete neural events. Because the acoustic filter characteristics are consistently changing throughout the formant transition portion of the stimulus, neural responses to [ba], [da] and [ga] are also most distinct in the transition period. On the other hand, because the source is identical and constant throughout all stimuli, the neural response to this cue shows a greater propensity to be more similar, as it is phase-locking to the $F_0$.

The third hypothesis regarding the stimulus formant transition period stated that based on the phase-locking limitations of the brainstem, neural encoding of the periodic acoustic properties of speech would be concentrated in temporal events (phase-locking) below the second formant. As such, there would be little difference in response spectra at frequencies where the stimuli differ. An unexpected finding was seen in the spectral encoding of the [ba] harmonics at 400 and 500 Hz. At these two harmonics, the magnitude of the [ba] response was significantly greater than either the [da] or the [ga] response. Since the [ba] stimulus does not contain any more spectral energy than the other stimuli at either 400 or 500 Hz, we speculate that this magnitude enhancement is due to a distortion product. The $F_1$ of the [ba] stimulus ramps from 400 to 720 Hz, and the $F_2$ ramps from 900 to 1240 Hz. The difference between these two formants ($F_2 - F_1$) produces an almost flat 500 Hz difference tone, from which we believe the 500 Hz spectral enhancement arises. $F_2 - F_1$ distortion products have been identified in the auditory brainstem FFR when elicited by pure tones (Chertoff and Hecox, 1990; Pandya and Krishnan, 2004; Rickman

![Fig. 9. The hypothesized peak latency progression across stimuli was that for a given peak, [ga] would have the earliest latency, [da] would have an intermediate latency, and [ba] would have the latest latency ([ga] < [da] < [ba]). Minor peaks (A) and major peaks (B) plotted for each subject (x-axis). Symbols indicate at which peak the hypothesized latency pattern was not followed, and which consonant pairs were the most likely to deviate from the hypothesized latency pattern. Subjects with no symbols along the vertical line followed the hypothesized latency pattern at all peaks (marked with an asterisk).](image-url)
et al., 1991). However, to our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of a distortion product produced by a complex signal with a changing frequency trajectory. The explanation for the enhanced magnitude seen at 400 Hz of the [ba] stimulus is not as clear-cut, as no other formant subtraction would produce a 400 Hz difference tone. Perhaps this enhancement reflects the difference between the 500 Hz distortion product and the 100 Hz F0, F2 – F1, distortion products were not evident in response to either the [da] or the [ga] stimuli because the formant subtraction of these two syllables does not produce a stable frequency as it does in the [ba] stimulus. The remaining magnitude differences observed at H1, H2, and H10 indicate that phase-locking cues are evident in brainstem responses up to ~1100 Hz, although, like H2, they are not readily explainable by the frequency content of the stimulus.

The fourth, and final, hypothesis applies to the neural encoding of the steady-state portion of the stimuli. We hypothesized no between-stimulus latency or spectral differences in the response to this portion of the syllables, because the acoustic properties are identical across all syllables. This hypothesis was confirmed.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine how the human auditory brainstem encodes the acoustic differences between voiced consonant–vowel stop syllables. The spectral differences between [ga], [da], and [ba] were manifested primarily as systematic latency differences in the neural response, although the magnitude enhancement at 400 and 500 Hz in the [ba] response spectrum may also provide important information. Furthermore, within-subject latency differences across the three stimuli were most robust and evident for the minor peaks. From a practical standpoint, this means that it is not necessary to pick all 16 peaks in each response to evaluate normal brainstem encoding of these syllables. Rather, the information pertinent to normal speech encoding can be obtained by picking the four minor peaks in each response (12 peaks total). This is an important consideration for the clinical implications of these findings. First, numerous studies have reported abnormal neural encoding of the syllable [da] in children with language-based learning problems (Banai et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2007; King et al., 2002; Russo et al., 2005; Wible et al., 2004a,b, 2005). Although important information pertaining to how neural encoding is disrupted in these children and how this may impact phonological processing has been gleaned from these studies, this work may help us to further specify the neural encoding challenges posed by learning difficulties in this population. For example, it may be the case that the auditory brainstem of a child with a language-based learning problem is not accurately encoding latency differences between a [ba] and a [da] syllable. This information has the potential to inform recommendations for remediation strategies, and allows for a remediation program that can be better tailored to suit a child’s specific areas of weakness. Another obvious clinical population that could benefit from these results is the hearing impaired. By understanding which acoustic aspects of a syllable are being improperly encoded by the brainstem due to a hearing loss, one can envision more precise programming strategies of either hearing aids or cochlear implants.

This study has begun to provide a more comprehensive explanation of how the pitch, formants, and segmental-level timing cues in speech are encoded by the brainstem. Future work is underway that will describe the brainstem correlates of additional acoustic properties contained within speech such as voice onset and offset timing, changes in source information with constant filter information, and different vowels.
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